Research in Astron. Astrophys. Vol.0 (200x) No.0, 000–000
http://www.raa-journal.org *http://www.iop.org/journals/raa*

***R**esearch in*
***A**stronomy and*
***A**strophysics*

arXiv:1512.02439v1 [astro-ph.GA] 8 Dec 2015

An Isolated Compact Galaxy Triplet

Shuai Feng[1,2], Zheng-Yi Shao[1†], Shi-Yin Shen[1,4], Maria Argudo-Fernández[1], Hong Wu[3], Man-I Lam[3], Ming Yang[3] and Fang-Ting Yuan[1]

[1] Key Laboratory for Research in Galaxies and Cosmology, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 80 Nandan Road, Shanghai 200030, China. Email: zyshao@shao.ac.cn†
[2] University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China
[3] Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Road, Beijing 100012, China
[4] Key Lab for Astrophysics, Shanghai, 200234, China

Abstract We report the discovery of an isolated compact galaxy triplet SDSS J084843.45+164417.3, which is first detected by the LAMOST spectral survey and then confirmed by the spectroscopic observation of the BFOSC of the 2.16 meter telescope. It is found that this triplet is an isolated and extremely compact system, which has an aligned configuration and very small radial velocity dispersion. The member galaxies have similar colors and show marginal star formation activities. These results enhance the opinion that the compact triplets are well-evolved systems rather than the hierarchically forming structures. This occasional discovery reveals the limitations of the fiber spectral redshift surveys in studying such compact system, and declares the necessity of additional observations to complete the current redshift sample.

Key words: galaxies:group — galaxies: interaction — galaxies:star formation — galaxies:evolution

1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxy triplet constitutes the simplest galaxy group and the smallest N-body system that cannot be modelled analytically. In general, triplets have not received much attention in contrast to the close pairs or the rich groups of galaxies. So, the basic scenario of their formation and evolution is still ambiguous. According to the popular hierarchical structure formation theory, it is naturally presumed that a triplet is formed through a close galaxy pair accreting the third remote galaxy. However, some recent evidences suggest that for lots of triplets, their member galaxies have similar properties and are supposed to be the remains of a long evolved dynamical system within a common dark matter halo (e.g. Duplancic et al. 2015 and Aceves 2001).

The first catalogue of galaxy triplets was compiled by Karachentseva et al. (1979) and Karachentsev et al. (1988), which contains 84 Northern isolated galaxy triplets. These triplets were selected by visual inspection of Palomar Sky Survey plates, whose member galaxies have apparent magnitudes brighter than 15.7. About 64 percent of these targets were considered to be physical triplets with $\Delta v_{ij} < 500 kms^{-1}$ (Karachentsev & Karachentseva 1981, hereafter K-sample). Based on this catalogue, the basic properties of the galaxy triplets, e.g. integrated luminosity, diameter, velocity dispersion, as well as the spatial configuration, dynamics and dark matter content have been estimated and discussed in detail (Karachentseva & Karachentsev 1982; Karachentseva & Karachentsev

1983; Karachentsev 1990; Chernin & Mikkola 1991; Anosova et al. 1992; Zheng et al. 1993 and Aceves 2001) . For the Southern sky ($\delta < 3^{\circ}$), similar works have also been done on a sample of 76 isolated triplets, which are selected from the European Southern Observatory/Science and Engineering Research Council (ESO/SERC) and Palomar Observatory Sky Survey first release (POSS-I) (Karachentseva & Karachentsev 2000).

O'Mill et al. (2012) constructed a catalogue of triplets with their primary galaxies ($Mr < -20.5$) selected from a volume-limited sample in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS-DR7) Abazajian et al. (2009)(hereafter O-sample). This catalogue comprises 1092 triplets with redshift range $0.01 \leq z \leq 0.14$. However, due to the fiber collision effect of the SDSS (minimum separation of 55" between any two fibers), most of the companion galaxies in these systems were selected by only using their photometric redshifts (z_{phot}). As a result of the relatively large uncertainty of z_{phot} (~ 0.0227), this catalogue is inevitable contaminated by "projected triplets" and yet, in another respect, some of the real triplets could be missed. In O-sample, there are about one tenth of the triplets with spectroscopic redshifts for all their members. Duplancic et al. (2013, 2015) analyzed the configuration and dynamics of this sub-sample, and compared them with galaxy pairs and clusters. They found that the triplet galaxy members are more similar to the galaxies in compact groups and rich clusters than in galaxy pairs and concluded that the galaxy triplets may not be formed hierarchically. Very recently, Argudo-Fernández et al. (2015) published a sample of 315 isolated triplets in the local Universe($z \leq 0.080$) using the spectroscopic redshifts (z_{spec}) from SDSS DR 10 (hereafter A-sample). In this sample, galaxies are considered to be physically bound to the primary galaxy at projected separation up to $d \leq$450 kpc and with radial velocity difference $\Delta v \leq 160 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$.

Given the limited data and studies summarized above, there are still not enough pieces to solve the puzzles of the formation and evolution scenario of the galaxy triplets. Any additional sample of physical triplets, especially for those compact ones, will be valuable to accumulate our knowledge about this kind of system.

The galaxy system SDSS J084843.45+164417.3 (hereafter J0848+1644), which contains galaxy A, B and C (see Fig. 1 for details), is the spectroscopic triplet we report and study in this paper. This triplet is not included in the A-sample because these three galaxies have very small angular distances and only galaxy B has spectrum in SDSS, $z_{spec} = 0.078829 \pm 0.000015$ (SpecObjID: 2565950139160094720) (Abazajian et al. 2009). Also, it is excluded by the O-sample since the z_{phot} of the other two galaxies ($z_{phot} = (0.32, 0.08, 0.19)$ for galaxy A, B and C respectively) are all much more different from z_{spec} of galaxy B. This galaxy triplet was reported as a galaxy pair in Shen et al. (2015), where they started a project that aims to get the spectroscopic redshifts of all the main sample of galaxies($r < 17.77$) yet without redshifts measured in SDSS DR7 due to the fiber collision. Their new redshift survey is operated on Guo Shou Jing telescope (also known as Large Area Multiple Objects Spectroscopic Telescope Survey, hereafter LAMOST, Cui et al. 2012). The galaxy C is compiled into the complementary galaxy sample of the LAMOST spectral survey and its spectra has been released in the LAMOST DR1 with z_{spec} = 0.07929 (Obsid:78907168) (Luo et al. 2015)[1]. During the visual inspection of the galaxy pairs in Shen et al. (2015), we find, together with galaxy A, they are very possibly in a compact triplet system.

We have applied a follow-up spectroscopic confirmation of this triplet using the 2.16 meter optical telescope (hereafter 2.16m) on the Xinglong Station of the National Astronomical Observatories of Chinese Academy of Sciences. In this paper, we firstly report the data from the spectroscopic observation on 2.16m. After that, we combine all optical photometric and spectroscopic data and make a detailed study on this triplet. This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the photometric and spectroscopic data of J0848+1644 and the measurements of the basic features of its members. In section 3, we derive the global properties of this triplet, such as the compactness, configuration, environment, dynamical status and star forming rate etc. Finally, we present discussions in section 4 and list summary in section 5. Throughout this paper, we use cosmological parameters Ω_{Λ} = 0.7, Ω_M = 0.3, and h = 0.7.

[1] Based on SDSS DR7 photometry, the galaxy C (r=17.06) belongs to the main galaxy sample whereas the galaxy A (r=18.45) does not.



Fig. 1 Images of J0848+1644. *Top Left:* The optical image combined with SDSS g, r, i bands. The letters (A,B and C) labelled in the figure are used to denote the members of the triplet. The white dashed cube indicates the position of the long slit of the BFOSC of the 2.16m telescope. The $5''$ scale line at the up-left corner corresponds to 8.2kpc at the redshift of this triplet. *Top Right:* The r-band SDSS frame image where the dashed circles indicate the Petrosian R_{90} from SDSS. *Bottom Left:* The best fitting model made by `GALFIT` where the solid circles indicate the isophote ellipses enclose 90% total model flux of individual galaxies. *Bottom Right:* Residual image of the best fitting, the grey scale from black to white indicates -5% to 8% of the maximum flux in the model image.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

In this section, we describe the observation of triplet J0848+1644 from 2.16m telescope and our new photometric data reduction on the SDSS images. All measurements of individual member galaxies are summarized in Table 1.



Fig. 2 The optical spectra of member galaxies of the J0848+1644. The black lines are the spectra of the BFOSC of the 2.16m telescope. The blue and red lines are taken from SDSS for galaxy B and LAMOST for galaxy C respectively. All the spectra are normalized at 5500 Å and smoothed with a width of 11 Å. The redshifts of individual spectra are estimated by averaging the measurements of multiple spectral lines, whose species names are labelled with corresponding spectra in three main panels. Additionally, we show some spectral lines of SDSS and LAMOST spectra in minor panel which are not covered by 2.16m telescope.

2.1 Spectroscopy

2.1.1 Observations

Our follow-up spectroscopic observation was taken by BAO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (hereafter BFOSC) of the 2.16m optical telescope in Dec. 31, 2013. The long slit of BFOSC is 1.8″ wide and covers all three galaxies simultaneously (as shown in Fig. 1). The spectral wavelength coverage is from 3870 to 6760 Å, and the resolution is $R \sim 700$ at 5000 Å.

The spectroscopic data were reduced following the standard procedures using the NOAO Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF, version 2.16) software package, including the bias and flat-field correction of CCD, and the cosmic-ray removal. Wavelength calibration was performed by comparing with the ferrum/argon lamp spectra, which exposed at both the beginning and the end of that observation. Flux calibration of all spectra was performed using the additional observation of the standard star, Feige

Table 1 Observational and derived parameters of individual members of J0848+1644

Parameter	Unit	galaxy A	galaxy B	galaxy C
RA (J2000)	hh:mm:ss.ss	08:48:43.94	08:48:43.45	08:48:43.08
DEC(J2000)	dd:mm:ss.ss	+16:44:21.76	+16:44:17.36	+16:44:14.18
d_i [a]	kpc	13.97	-	10.44
z_{SDSS}			0.078829±0.000015	
z_{LAMOST} [b]				0.07929
z		0.07852±0.00015	0.07848±0.00016	0.07883±0.00017
v_r	km s^{-1}	23557±45	23544±48	23650±51
F(OII)	10^{-17} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}	200.4	453.6	1396.1
m_r [c]		17.32	17.00	16.99
n_s [c]		6.0	2.1	1.6
R_e [c]	kpc	3.6	2.6	3.6
b/a [c]		0.45	0.94	0.50
θ [c]	degree	5.3	-5.2	7.6
$R_{a,90}$ [d]	kpc	21.0	9.0	11.0
$R_{c,90}$ [e]	kpc	14.9	8.9	8.3
$g-r$		0.75	0.77	0.78
$\log(M_*/M_\odot)$ [f]		10.51	10.66	10.67
SFR [g]	M$_\odot\cdot$ yr^{-1}	0.38	0.86	2.64
$\log(SFR/M_*)$		-10.9	-10.7	-10.3

[a] Projected distance from the central galaxy B.
[b] The redshift error of galaxy C is not provided in LAMOST DR1.
[c] Fitting parameters that derived from the `GALFIT` by modelling the r-band image with three Sersic profile components.
[d] Major axis radius of ellipse enclosing 90% model flux in the r-band.
[e] Radii of circle enclosing 90% model flux in the r-band.
[f] Stellar mass estimated according to Bell et al. (2003).
[g] Estimated from F(OII).

34. The atmospheric extinction was corrected with the mean extinction coefficients of the Xinglong station.

The resultant 1-dimensional spectra have typical $S/N \sim 14$. For galaxy B, we plot the spectrum of SDSS (see Fig. 2) for comparison. These two spectra matched very well in their overlap wavelength range, which also demonstrates the reasonability of our flux calibration of BFOSC.

2.1.2 Radial velocities and emission line strengths

To get the redshift measurements from the BFOSC spectra, we firstly measure the radial velocities of each individual emission or absorbtion line (z_l) by using the IRAF package `splot`. The redshift of each galaxy is then calculated by averaging the results of all its adopted spectral lines, $z = \langle z_l \rangle$, and the error is estimated from their dispersion, $z_{err} = \sigma_{z_l}/\sqrt{N_l}$. Some of the identified lines are not strong enough or blended by sky lines, and therefore have not been used in the redshift calculation. The final adopted spectral lines for each spectrum are labeled in main panels of Fig. 2 respectively and the redshift results are listed in Table 1. For galaxy B, the BOSFC redshift is in excellent agreement with the SDSS value ($z_{SDSS} = 0.078829$). For galaxy C, the BOSFC redshift is also consistent with the LAMOST value ($z_{LAMOST} = 0.0793$). Considering the internal consistence of the BOSFC spectra, we only take the BOSFC redshifts to study the kinematics and dynamics of J0848+1644 below.

Besides the redshifts, the fluxes of OII emission lines of three BFOCS spectra have also been measured through the Gaussian fit and the correction of the long slit effect, which will be used as diagnostics of the current star formation (SFR) of each galaxy.

2.2 Photometric measurements

The SDSS catalogue has released a variety of photometric measurements for each galaxy, e.g. the Petrosian and model system (Stoughton et al. 2002; Abazajian et al. 2009). However, it is found that the SDSS photometric measurements have not been optimized for the galaxies with close neighbors (Patton et al. 2011).

For J0848+1644, the galaxy A,B and C have the size R_{90}(the circular aperture including 90 percent of the Petrosian flux) 8.87, 1.84 and 7.65 arcsec respectively(the dashed circles in Fig. 3). The underestimation of the size of galaxy B is because that the SDSS algorithm make the photometric measurements for each objects after masking the neighbors. So this small size further leads to an underestimation of the relevant Petrosian magnitude of galaxy B. To avoid such an over-subtraction, we reprocess the photometry of J0848+1644 using the frame image from the SDSS data archive. Rather than one by one, we make the photometry of their members simultaneously. We take the Sersic profile for each member galaxy and make a combined fitting by using the 2D `GALFIT` routine (Peng et al. 2002).

The fitting results of the r-band image, including the total magnitude (m_r), the Sérsic index (n_s), the effective radius of the major axis (R_e), the ellipticity (b/a) and the position angle of the major axis along the triplet alignment direction (θ) are listed in Table 1. The residual image of the model fitting is shown in the bottom right panel of Fig. 1. For comparison, we plot the ellipse with $R_{a,90}$ that enclose 90% model flux with solid lines in the bottom left panel. It is clear that our fitting results do not suffer from the over-masking problem, so they should be more reliable to describe the photometric features of these member galaxies.

Similarly, we also fit the SDSS g-band image and further derive the $g-r$ color of each galaxy. The values listed in Table 1 are already corrected for the Galactic extinction.

3 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

In this Section, we measure and discuss the global properties of J0848+1644 using the photometric and spectroscopic data in the above section, and summarize the results in Table 2.

3.1 Compactness and Configuration

The compactness of a galaxy triplet is defined as a measurement of the percentage of the system total area that is filled by the light of member galaxies (Duplancic et al. 2013),

$$S = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} R_{90}^2}{R_m^2} \tag{1}$$

where R_{90} is the circle radius enclosing 90% model flux of the galaxy, R_m is the radius of the minimum enclosing circle that contains the geometric centers of all member galaxies in the triplet. The R_m of J0848+1644 is 12.2 kpc. Taking the R_{90} of galaxies in the $r-$band from our `GALFIT` fitting ($R_{c,90}$ in Table 1), the compactness is $S = 2.48$, which is far larger than those of the O-sample, whose median value is ~ 0.05.

It is worthy to mention that, for triplets, the apparent high compactness may be contaminated by the projection effect. Considering the projection, the apparent compactness actually represents its upper limit. Anyway, according to the S value, the J0848+1644 has a very high probability to be a highly compact system.

Agekyan & Anosova (1968) suggested an elegant method (AA-map) to analyze the geometric configurations of the triplet systems. They defined four types of configuration based on the shape of the triangle formed by their members.

Obviously, J0848+1644 has a chain-like (alignment) configuration (A-type) in the projected 2D AA-map. According to the simulation result of Duplancic et al. (2015), which counts the number of mock triplets in each area of the 3D and 2D AA-map, J0848+1644 has 75% probability to have a real 3D alignment configuration and only 5% probability to locate in the hierarchical region(H-type).

3.2 Environment

In environmental studies, a galaxy is typically defined as isolated if there is no neighbor galaxy with recessional velocity difference $\Delta v \leq 500$ km s^{-1} in a particular projected distance, such as 1Mpc. For, J0848+1644, it is certainly an isolated galaxy system, since its nearest neighbor($M_r <$ -19.5) is 3.12 Mpc away.

To further quantify its isolation degree, following Argudo-Fernández et al. (2014), we also calculate other two parameters. One is the number density of neighbor galaxies $\eta_{k,LSS}$, defined as follows:

$$\eta_{k,LSS} = \log\left(\frac{k-1}{V(r_k)}\right) \tag{2}$$

where $V(r_k) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r_k^3$ and r_k is the projected distance to the kth nearest neighbor of the large scale structure (LSS), with k equals to 5 or lower if there are not enough neighbors in the field. According to the data of SDSS, there are only four neighbor galaxies($M_r <$ -19.5) around with radial velocity $\Delta v \leq 500$ km s^{-1} in the volume of 5 Mpc projected distance. For J0848+1644, the large scale neighbor galaxies number density $\eta_{4,LSS}$ is -2.10, which is much less than the median value of the A-sample ~ -1.4. This result even indicates that J0848+1644 locates in a lower density environment than other isolated triplets.

Another parameter is about the tidal strength Q on the primary galaxy (here we use the central galaxy B instead) created by its neighbors i in the field

$$Q = \log\left(\sum_i \frac{M_i}{M_P}\left(\frac{D_P}{d_i}\right)^3\right) \tag{3}$$

where M_i is the stellar mass and d_i is the projected distance of the ith neighbor to the primary galaxy. $D_P = 2\alpha R_{90}$ is the diameter of the primary galaxy. It is scaled by a factor $\alpha = 1.43$ to recover D_{25} (Argudo-Fernández et al. 2014). Obviously, the larger value of Q, the less isolation the primary galaxy is.

For the triplet system, there are two measurements of the Q parameter. Q_{LSS} is generated by the neighbors of the triplet up to 5 Mpc, but excludes its own companion galaxies. This is an assessment of the isolation degree of the primary member galaxy in the LSS environment. On the other hand, Q_{Local} considers only other two members of the triplet (galaxies A and C for J0848+1644), which could represent the internal links within the system itself.

Stellar masses of galaxies are estimated by the relationship $log_{10}(M/L_r) = -0.306 + 1.097(g-r)$ from Bell et al. (2003). Thus, the Q parameters of J0848+1644 are $Q_{LSS} = -5.70$ and $Q_{Local} = 1.29$ respectively. It is worthy to be mentioned that the the $Q_{triplet}$ may be overestimated because of the projection effect.

Comparing with galaxy triplets in A-sample as showing in Fig. 3, Q_{LSS} (or Q_{Local}) of J0848+1644 is much lower (or higher) than their median value -5.0 (or -2.0). Additionally, the uniformed ratio of $Q_{Local}/Q_{total} = Q_{Local}/(Q_{LSS} + Q_{Local}) \simeq 1.0$. All these values clearly identify that J0848+1644 is an extremely compact and isolated system.

3.3 Dynamics

To characterize the dynamics of J0848+1644, we calculate its projected harmonic radius R_H, radial velocity dispersion σ_{v_r}, dimensionless crossing time $H_0 t_c$ and virial mass M_{vir} respectively:

$$R_H = (\frac{1}{N}\sum R_{ij}^{-1})^{-1}, \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma_{v_r}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1}\sum (v_r - \langle v_r \rangle)^2, \tag{5}$$

$$H_0 t_c = H_0 \pi R_H/(\sqrt{3}\sigma_{v_r}), \tag{6}$$

$$M_{vir} = 3\pi N R_H \sigma_{v_r}^2/(N-1)G. \tag{7}$$



Fig. 3 The environment parameters of triplet J0848+1644 (red stars) comparing with other isolated triplets in the A-sample (black dots).

where R_{ij} to be the projections of galaxy-galaxy separation and $N = 3$ for the triplet.

Based on the v_r results from the BFOSC spectra (see Table 1 for details), we have $R_H = 14.5kpc$, $\sigma_{v_r} = 58.1kms^{-1}$. Then, we can derive other two parameters, $H_0 t_c = 0.032$ and $\log(M_{vir}/M_{\odot}) = 11.2$.

It is very interesting that the M_{vir} of J0484+1644 is so small, and even less than the total stellar mass of its member galaxies. We are not surprised of this result, because for a triplet system, the virial mass estimated by the radial velocity dispersion might be strongly related to the viewing angle. For example, if our line-of-sight happens to perpendicular to the plane of three vectors of peculiar velocity of the triplet members, the σ_{v_r} could be deceased to zero. So, this visual aspect reminds us that the M_{vir} estimation of triplet system is only a combination of the velocity dispersion σ_{v_r} and scale length R_H, which has the dimension of mass (Karachentseva & Karachentsev 2000). It can not be considered as the true mass of individual triplets, but should be worthwhile in statistical analysis for a pretty large sample of triplets.

3.4 Star formation rate

It is believed that the galaxy interaction triggers star formation. The galaxies in compact groups are shown to have stronger star formation in comparison with those in fields (Gómez et al. 2003). For galaxy B or C of J0848+1644, we see significant Hα emission line in their respective SDSS or LAMOST spectrum, which indicates their current star forming activities. Unfortunately, the LAMOST spectrum of galaxy C may be seriously affected by unreliable flux calibration and causes an unexpected stronger Hα emission which may lead to the wrong value of star formation rate (SFR).

Alternatively, we use the OII flux measured from the BFOSC spectra of all three galaxies to calibrate their SFR, following the relation of Kennicutt (1998),

$$SFR(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}) \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-41} L(\mathrm{OII})(\mathrm{ergss}^{-1}), \quad (8)$$

The SFR results, together with the $\log(SFR/M_*)$, are calculated and listed in Table 1. For galaxy B, these two values are all consistent with those derived from the Hα flux. Then, we can sum up the SFR parameters for the whole triplet system and list them in Table 2.

Table 2 Global physical parameters of J0848+1644

Parameter	Unit	J0848+1644	NOTES
S		2.48	Compactness parameter.
$\eta_{4,LSS}$		-2.10	Projected number density.
Q_{LSS}		-5.70	Tidal force effect of LSS.
Q_{Local}		1.29	Tidal force effect in the triplet.
R_H	kpc	14.5	Projected Harmonic radii.
σ_{v_r}	km s^{-1}	58.1	Radial velocity dispersion.
$H_0 t_c$		0.032	Dimensionless crossing time.
$\log(M_{vir}/M_\odot)$		11.2	Virial mass. It may have strong projection effect.
SFR	M$_\odot\cdot$ yr^{-1}	3.88	Star formation rate
$\log(SFR/M_*)$		-10.51	sSFR

From the result, galaxy A and B have lower star formation rate than C, which causes OIII too weak, and Hβ even too weak to override stellar absorption (see Fig. 2).(Kennicutt 1992).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Characteristics of J0848+1644

The most distinguished feature of J0848+1644 is its compactness. The parameter $S = 2.48$ is located in the most compact end for almost all current triplet samples. The lack of such compact triplets is partly because of the sampling bias of redshift sky surveys (see next subsection for details), and the investigation of J0848+1644 provides a valuable example to reveal the nature of such compact few body system. Combining with other properties, the apparent compactness seems to be real. All evidences point out that it comes from a sufficient dynamical evolution, while the interaction among member galaxies is still active.

From the projected 2D AA-map, J0848+1644 has a very high probability to have an alignment configuration rather than a hierarchical structure. It is believed that different configurations of the triplets may be reflecting different dynamical stages of the system. The A-type configuration therefore implies that it is a well formed system and its member galaxies may be co-evolved for a long time. Then, the isolation diagnosis brings out more information about the relations between the J0848+1644 and its environment. Generally speaking, the evolution of a galaxy may be affected by external influence when the corresponding tidal force amounts to 1% of the internal binding force (Athanassoula 1984; Byrd & Howard 1992), that corresponds to a tidal strength of $Q = -2$. Obviously, J0848+1644 has Q_{LSS} (or Q_{Local}) more than three orders of magnitude lower (or higher) than this level. That means this triplet has extremely weak external influence from the LSS environment, and on the contrast, has very strong internal connection among its members.

Additionally, the dimensionless crossing time $H_0 t_c$ is the ratio of the crossing time to the age of the universe and is a convenient measurement of the system dynamical stage. For our triplet, $H_0 t_c = 0.035$ is similar to the median value of the O-sample (0.031) and not much longer than that of the K-sample (0.019). Obviously it is much less than 1, which indicates the sufficiency of dynamical evolution. The direct consequence of dynamical evolution is sinking the member galaxies into the deep gravitational well of the central region of the dark matter halo, and leading a small velocity dispersion since it is corresponding to a smaller amount of mass of the innermost part of the halo. The triplet J0848+1644 has a very small value of the radial velocity dispersion. Although we have stated that it is probably due to the projection, it is not conflict to the scenario of a well evolution of this triplet.

The dynamical properties discussed above also reflect the physical features of three member galaxies. Overall, members of J0848+1644 are similar. They all have armless spherical shapes with similar luminosity and color. This similarity is regarded as another evidence of the long time co-evolution. All three members show significant but not strong activities of current star formation, that means the interaction may continue to play the role of star forming trigger. It is worth to mention that the colors and

SFRs of these members are all near the boundary between two classifications of galaxies. They are a little bit bluer, and a little bit more active than non-star-forming galaxies. We are not sure if this phenomenon is common for those very compact systems at their final stage, or it is just an individual case for the J0848+1644. So it is essential to increase the sample of this kind of extreme compact triplet for the future researches.

4.2 Importance of Spectroscopic Redshifts

According to the spectroscopic observation of the BFOSC on the 2.16m telescope, J0848+1644 is undoubtedly confirmed as a bounded system, since the differences of z_{spec} of its members are much less than the typical radial velocity dispersions of triplet systems. The reasons of why it was missed in previous samples, e.g. the O-sample and the A-sample that all based on the SDSS, are the fiber confliction effect and the relatively large uncertainties of the z_{phot} measurements. These two factors are all related to the compactness of this triplet. Thus, there is a consequential question that if these factors will seriously influence the study of few body systems.

The fiber confliction effects are common in any redshift survey using fiber spectroscopy. Taking the minimum fiber separation of SDSS (55") as an typical angular distance, even for the low redshift galaxies ($z \sim 0.1$), the closet pairs of galaxies that can be observed in the same survey plate should have a distance larger than 100 kpc. So usually we can not find and identify the compact few body system by only using one scan of a fiber spectroscopic survey data (see Shen et al. 2015) for more discussions. This is why O'Mill et al. (2012) takes z_{phot} in their searching of triplets. However, even taking the most accurate measurements of z_{phot}, it has at least 5% uncertainties, which leads a $1500kms^{-1}$ difference in radial velocities at $z \sim 0.1$ and therefore is much larger than the typical velocity dispersion of poor galaxy groups. Furthermore, considering the neighboring effects in the photometric measurements(Section 2.2), just as the J0848+1644, the uncertainties of radial velocity from z_{phot} are even enlarged.

For all of the above reasons, we believe that there is a significant fraction of compact triplets of galaxies have not been collected in current samples yet. The complement of such compact systems is of great importance in understanding the final evolution process of triplets, and also reveals some clues of the connection between galaxy pairs and groups.

The discovery and identification of the triplet J0848+1644 also declare that it is really important to carry out the supplemental survey for current major galaxy redshift surveys , such as the LAMOST, at least in the research field of compact few body systems (Shen et al. 2015). Fortunately LAMOST has provided a good opportunity to do such project. Although the LAMOST spectrum of galaxy C we used here is occasionally noisier than most of ($\sim$92%) DR1 spectra, it still provided some vital information for our work, especially the obvious Hα emission line which provides the reliable redshift rather than z_{phot}.

It is not easy to estimate how many compact triplet systems could be found, before we have a complete z_{spec} sample. However, as a comparison, we used only z_{phot} to search the triplets in the A-sample though they all have z_{spec} measurements, and found more than 60% real triplets will be missed. This result strongly implies that considerable amount of compact triplets will be found after some effective supplemental surveys.

5 SUMMARY

We summarize the main results of this work as follows.

(1) The LAMOST spectra survey, which supplies new spectroscopic measurements to the main sample galaxies without redshifts in SDSS due to the fiber collision, found the clue of a possible triplet J0848+1644. It is further confirmed as a real triplet by the follow-up spectroscopic observation of the BFOSC of the 2.16m telescope at Xinglong station of NAOC.

(2) We find that the J0848+1644 is an extremely compact isolated triplet, with alignment configuration and very small radial velocity dispersion. The member galaxies of this triplet have similar properties

in their shapes, colors and star formation rates. It gives an additional example of the compact triplets, which are supposed to be co-evolved system rather than the hierarchically forming structure.

(3) The compact systems like J0848+1644, e.g. the close pairs or poor groups, are difficult to be gathered by the redshift survey based on the fiber spectroscopy or using the current photometric redshift technics. The serendipitous discovery of J0848+1644 shows the importance of following projects aiming at supplying the major redshift sky surveys, e.g. the LAMOST complementary galaxy survey Shen et al. (2015).

Acknowledgements We sincerely thank the anonymous referee whose suggestions greatly helped us improve this paper. We are also grateful to the kind staff at the Xinglong 2.16 m telescope for their support during the observations.

This work is supported by the 973 Program" 2014 CB845705, Strategic Priority Research Program The Emergence of Cosmological Structures" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS; grant XDB09030200) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) with the Project Number of 11390373, 11573050 and 11433003.

Funding for the creation and distribution of the SDSS archive has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the participating institutions, NASA, the National Science Foundation, the US Department of Energy, the Japanese Monbukagakusho and the Max Plank Society. The SDSS website is http://www.sdss.org. SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the participating institutions.

The Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST, now called the Guoshoujing Telescope) is a National Major Scientific Project built by the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Funding for the project has been provided by the National Development and Reform Commission. LAMOST is operated and managed by the National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

Abazajian, K. N., Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., Agüeros, M. A., et al. 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
Aceves, H. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1412
Agekyan, T. A., & Anosova, Z. P. 1968, Soviet Ast., 11, 1006
Anosova, Z. P., Kiseleva, L. G., Orlov, V. V., & Chernin, A. D. 1992, Soviet Ast., 36, 231
Argudo-Fernández, M., Verley, S., Bergond, G., et al. 2014, A&A, 564, A94
Argudo-Fernández, M., Verley, S., Bergond, G., et al. 2015, ArXiv 1504.00117
Athanassoula, E. 1984, Phys. Rep., 114, 321
Bell, E. F., McIntosh, D. H., Katz, N., & Weinberg, M. D. 2003, ApJS, 149, 289
Byrd, G. G., & Howard, S. 1992, AJ, 103, 1089
Chernin, A. D., & Mikkola, S. 1991, MNRAS, 253, 153
Cui, X.-Q., Zhao, Y.-H., Chu, Y.-Q., et al. 2012, Research in Astron. Astrophys. (RAA), 12, 1197
Duplancic, F., Alonso, S., Lambas, D. G., & O'Mill, A. L. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1399
Duplancic, F., O'Mill, A. L., Lambas, D. G., Sodré, L., & Alonso, S. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 3547
Gómez, P. L., Nichol, R. C., Miller, C. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, 584, 210
Karachentsev, I. D. 1990, in NASA Conference Publication, *NASA Conference Publication*, vol. 3098, edited by J. W. Sulentic, W. C. Keel, & C. M. Telesco, 3–17
Karachentsev, I. D., & Karachentseva, V. E. 1981, Astrofizika, 17, 5
Karachentsev, V. E., Karachentsev, I. D., & Lebedev, V. S. 1988, Astrofizicheskie Issledovaniia Izvestiya Spetsial'noj Astrofizicheskoj Observatorii, 26, 42
Karachentseva, V. E., & Karachentsev, I. D. 1982, Astrofizika, 18, 5
Karachentseva, V. E., & Karachentsev, I. D. 1983, Astrofizika, 19, 613
Karachentseva, V. E., & Karachentsev, I. D. 2000, Astronomy Reports, 44, 501
Karachentseva, V. E., Karachentsev, I. D., & Shcherbanovsky, A. L. 1979, Astrofizicheskie Issledovaniia Izvestiya Spetsial'noj Astrofizicheskoj Observatorii, 11, 3

Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1992, ApJ, 388, 310
Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
Luo, A.-L., Zhao, Y.-H., Zhao, G., et al. 2015, ArXiv: 1505.01570
O'Mill, A. L., Duplancic, F., García Lambas, D., Valotto, C., & Sodré, L. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1897
Patton, D. R., Ellison, S. L., Simard, L., McConnachie, A. W., & Mendel, J. T. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 591
Peng, C. Y., Ho, L. C., Impey, C. D., & Rix, H.-W. 2002, AJ, 124, 266
Shen, S.-Y., Argudo-Fernández, M., Chen, L., et al. 2015, submitted to Research in Astron. Astrophys. (RAA)
Stoughton, C., Lupton, R. H., Bernardi, M., et al. 2002, The Astronomical Journal, 123, 485
Zheng, J.-Q., Valtonen, M. J., & Chernin, A. D. 1993, AJ, 105, 2047